
Here is the feedback on the injects that we got from the judges!

Janice

A couple notes for all teams:

1. Make sure to read the requirements within the inject to ensure that a.) all tasks are completed and b.) the reporting requirements of the inject to provide proof that everything was completed
2. Naming conventions – Include Team Name & Inject Number in the filename
3. File format – PDF is always preferred
4. Formatting – setup a nice clean format for injects and keep it consistent for every inject submittal
5. Don’t send .zip files – one team did it on one inject – I don’t recall who. This is a Cybersecurity event – and .zip files are a big no-no in the real world.
6. Submit your injects!!! Overall I would say it was better than past years, but some teams still struggled with this.
7. Overall – formatting, file naming, and meeting all the requirements / reporting within the injects submittals was trending worse than previous years. Technical writing abilities - grammar, formatting, and completeness need to be preached!

**Team 4**



Inject 8 - no diagram provided, listed skills but didn't provide role coverage

Inject 10 - didn't include all screenshots, banners didn’t meet requirements, inconsistent

Inject 12 - no signature, not very in depth, no iot section

Inject 21 - didn't do it in the Palo Alto

So few injects were even responded to – and those that were submitted were incomplete and inconsistent. Your team missed out on the opportunity at 8,050 points just on injects they didn’t submit a response for.